

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vrignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,844	07/24/2001	Maximilian A. Biberger	SSI-00501	5915
28960	7590 08/14/2003			18
HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP			EXAMINER	
162 NORTH WOLFE ROAD SUNNYVALE, CA 94086			KACKAR, RAM N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
ſ	•	09/912,844	BIBERGER ET AL.
C	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Ram N Kackar	1763
	MAILING DATE of this communication app		th correspond nce address
eriod for Re	•		ITHO EDOM
THE MAIL - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re - Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed i0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠ Res	sponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>	<u> August 2003</u> .	
2a)☐ This	s action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
	ce this application is in condition for allowa		
clos Disposition o	sed in accordance with the practice under f Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
· <u> </u>	m(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application	l .	
•	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
	m(s) is/are allowed.		
· <u> </u>	m(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.		
<u> </u>	m(s) is/are objected to.		
	n(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
	specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
	lrawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep		Examiner.
	olicant may not request that any objection to the	• •	
	proposed drawing correction filed on		
If a	oproved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ply to this Office action.	
12) The o	ath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
riority under	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Ackn	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊡ All	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Appl	lication No
3. <u>□</u> * See th	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bure attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
14) Acknow	wledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application)
	The translation of the foreign language pro wledgment is made of a claim for domesti		
ttachment(s)			
) Notice of Dr	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark O-326 (Rev. 04-0		ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 18

Application/Control Number: 09/912,844

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention. In this instance claim 1 on which this claim depends recites single mechanical drive to keep the chamber closed and sealed under pressure while this limitation recites an additional mechanical clamp to maintain the chamber under high pressure. This is in obvious conflict with the independent claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 1, 7-8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Toru Yasuda (JP 2000106358).

Toru Yasuda discloses a high pressure chamber (Abstract and Fig 1 and 2) for processing semiconductor substrates comprising a first sealing surface (191), a platen for holding semiconductor substrates and a second sealing surface (110), single mechanical drive system with hydraulics (111) to close and seal the surface to form a processing chamber around the substrate.

Application/Control Number: 09/912,844 Page 3

Art Unit: 1763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toru Yasuda (JP 2000106358) in view of Fujikawa et al (5798126).

Toru Yasuda discloses sealing between the platen and chamber housing but not an O-ring on the first surface (on the chamber housing).

Fujikawa et al teach a high-pressure chamber with several ways of sealing and disclose (Fig 7) two surfaces sealing to each other through spacer (27) having o-ring grooves and seals in both the surfaces.

Therefore it would have been obvious for one with ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to have a groove and seal in first surface so as to keep the seal clean.

6. Claims 4-5 and 7- 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over Toru Yasuda (JP 2000106358) in view of Fujikawa et al (US 5979306).

Toru Yasuda discloses sealing between the platen and chamber housing but does not explicitly disclose an O-ring on the platen.

Fujikawa et al disclose a high pressure chamber for processing semiconductor substrates comprising a first sealing surface (Fig 2 –5 upper sealing surface), a platen for holding semiconductor substrates and a second sealing surface (Fig2-5 lower sealing surface), grooves

and O-ring seal (Fig 2-9) and a mechanical drive mechanism (Fig 1-24) being a piston driven by a compressible fluid (Fig 6-103) and a non compressible fluid (Fig 6-102 and Col 1-line 64-67 and Col 2 – line 46-53) and to close and seal the surface to form a processing chamber around the substrate.

Therefore it would have been obvious for one with ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to have a groove and seal in second surface also to ensure good seal between the two surfaces.

7. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toru Yasuda (JP 2000106358) in view of Fujikawa et al (5898727).

Toru Yasuda discloses hydraulic mechanism for lifting the platen for sealing but does not disclose the possibility of a motorized actuator.

Fujikawa et al disclose other driving means for a linear actuator, like hydraulic and motorized (Col 6 line 45-56). It is well known that a motorized actuator uses a screw for changing rotary motion to a linear one.

Therefore it would have been obvious for one with ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to use hydraulic or motorized actuator with a screw so as to have fast opening and closing operation without jitters.

8. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toru Yasuda (JP 2000106358) in view of Fujikawa et al (6077053).

Application/Control Number: 09/912,844

Art Unit: 1763

Toru Yasuda discloses a pressure chamber frame (Fig 1 and 2), and a sealing plate coupled to the hydraulics for supercritical as well as non supercritical processing (Abstract and paragraph 0014).

Toru Yasuda does not expressly disclose the inside of the fluid cylinder to show first fluid cavity defined by the piston body attached to the frame and the piston and the second fluid cavity defined by the piston neck and pressure chamber frame.

It is inherent and obvious to have two fluid cavities in a fluid cylinder, one on the side of the neck and the other on the other side of the piston to be used to move the piston one way or the other using differential pressure.

Fujikawa discloses this in a gas compressor using pistons (Fig 2 – region H being second cavity and behind R5 being first cavity).

Therefore having fluid cavities for hydraulics to operate correctly would have been obvious.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 2/20/2003 have been fully considered and have persuaded to remove the rejections based on Takahashi and Fujikawa et al (5798126), at least for independent claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 6508259 discloses a pressure vessel for supercritical processing (Fig 2) having two parts which open for loading /unloading (Fig 3) and close for pressure processing. After closing with an actuator additional mechanism clamps (Col 7 lines 17-28).

Application/Control Number: 09/912,844

Art Unit: 1763

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ram N Kackar whose telephone number is 703 305 3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M to 5:P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on 703 308 1633. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9310 for regular communications and 703 872 9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0661.

RK August 11, 2003

> ©PEGORY MILLS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700